

Desire, Betrayal, and the Aesthetics of Deception in Anne Carson's *The Beauty of the Husband*

Dr. Neha Nagar

Assistant Professor, Dept. of English
MBP Govt. P.G College Ashiana, Lucknow

Abstract

Anne Carson's *The Beauty of the Husband: A Fictional Essay in 29 Tangos* (2001) offers a complex exploration of desire, infidelity, betrayal, and the unstable relationship between beauty and truth. Drawing upon classical philosophy, literary allusion, autobiographical conventions, and the structural patterns of dance, Carson constructs a fragmented narrative in which the experience of loving and the act of interpreting the beloved become inseparable. The work challenges the assumption that beauty necessarily signifies goodness, authenticity, or moral worth. Instead, beauty emerges as a potentially deceptive force that intensifies desire while obscuring the ethical contradictions of the beloved. This paper examines the aesthetics of deception in Carson's text through the interconnected frameworks of feminist literary criticism, Roland Barthes's theory of romantic discourse, Elaine Scarry's philosophical account of beauty, and theories of narrative fragmentation. It argues that Carson transforms betrayal from a merely interpersonal experience into an epistemological crisis: the lover must confront the instability of the images, narratives, and interpretations through which love acquires meaning. The husband's beauty becomes significant not simply because it attracts the speaker but because it participates in the production of illusion, self-deception, and the repeated reconstruction of romantic attachment. The tango structure reinforces this instability through movement, repetition, interruption, and asymmetry, allowing the form of the work to enact the contradictions of desire. The paper further investigates how the female speaker's retrospective narration complicates conventional representations of victimhood, agency, and emotional knowledge. Ultimately, Carson's text reveals that the aesthetics of deception operates through the intimate relationship between appearance and interpretation, demonstrating how beauty may become both the medium of desire and the condition through which betrayal is endured, understood, and narratively reconstructed.

Keywords: Desire, Aesthetics of Deception, Feminist criticism, Romantic Discourse, Narrative Fragmentation, Infidelity

Anne Carson's *The Beauty of the Husband: A Fictional Essay in 29 Tangos*, published in 2001, is an experimental literary work that explores the contradictions of romantic love through the intertwined themes of beauty, desire, deception, and betrayal. Its narrative centres on a woman's relationship with a beautiful but unfaithful husband and on her retrospective attempts to understand the emotional and intellectual consequences of that relationship. Rather than presenting marriage as a stable institution founded upon mutual trust, Carson examines how attraction can coexist with dishonesty and how the emotional significance of a beloved may persist even when his conduct undermines the relationship. The work consequently raises important questions about the relationship between aesthetic appearance and ethical character, as well as the difficulty of separating genuine emotional attachment from the idealized narratives through which love is understood. The central narrative situation is deceptively simple. A woman becomes emotionally attached to a man whose beauty exerts a powerful influence upon her perception of him. The relationship develops within a context of desire and romantic expectation, but the husband's dishonesty and infidelity repeatedly challenge the speaker's understanding of their marriage. His attractiveness remains significant even when his conduct becomes difficult to reconcile with the ideals associated with love and fidelity. The speaker is therefore confronted with a contradiction: the man she desires is also the man whose actions undermine her trust. The story develops through her attempts to comprehend this contradiction, reconsider the relationship, and understand why beauty continues to possess emotional significance despite the evidence of deception.

Carson does not present this experience through a conventional, uninterrupted chronological narrative. The subtitle, "A Fictional Essay in 29 Tangos," signals a form that combines narrative, philosophical reflection, poetry, and essayistic inquiry. The twenty-nine sections function as distinct movements within a larger exploration of romantic experience. They allow the speaker to revisit the relationship from different perspectives, shifting between recollection, judgment, desire, and intellectual reflection. The tango, with its associations of movement, rhythm, proximity, and separation, becomes an appropriate formal analogy for a

relationship characterised by attraction and instability. The speaker's understanding of the husband changes as the narrative progresses, but these changes do not produce a simple or complete resolution of the emotional contradictions involved. The work's engagement with John Keats's "Ode on a Grecian Urn" provides an important philosophical context. Keats's famous formulation, "Beauty is truth, truth beauty,—that is all / Ye know on earth, and all ye need to know" (49–50), raises questions about the relationship between aesthetic experience and knowledge. Carson places the idea of beauty within a romantic relationship in which the beloved's attractiveness does not guarantee honesty, fidelity, or moral goodness. The husband's beauty becomes a problem precisely because it remains compelling while his behaviour contradicts the expectations that the speaker associates with intimacy. Carson thereby transforms the philosophical question of whether beauty reveals truth into a more intimate inquiry: what happens when the person one finds beautiful proves deceptive?

The present paper argues that *The Beauty of the Husband* constructs aesthetics of deception in which beauty becomes a medium through which desire shapes perception and sustains romantic attachment. The husband's infidelity constitutes a violation of trust, but its significance extends beyond the ethical failure of an individual. It also destabilizes the speaker's understanding of the relationship and forces her to reconsider the narratives through which she has interpreted the beloved. The story thus becomes an inquiry into the relationship between emotional truth and factual knowledge. The speaker's feelings may be genuine even when her assumptions about the husband prove unreliable. The argument develops through close textual analysis of the relationship represented in the work, with particular attention to the husband's beauty, his infidelity, the speaker's changing interpretations, and the fragmented narrative form. Roland Barthes's *A Lover's Discourse: Fragments* provides a framework for examining the repetitive and uncertain language of desire. Elaine Scarry's *On Beauty and Being Just* helps illuminate the relationship between aesthetic attraction and ethical judgment, while Simone de Beauvoir's *The Second Sex* offers a feminist perspective on gender, romantic expectations, and the construction of female subjectivity. These frameworks are used to investigate how Carson's narrative transforms an intimate story of love and betrayal into a broader reflection on the instability of romantic knowledge.

The story of *The Beauty of the Husband* revolves around the speaker's relationship with a man whose physical attractiveness becomes inseparable from her emotional experience of him. The husband is presented as a compelling figure, but his beauty exists alongside dishonesty and infidelity. The contrast between these qualities provides the narrative with its central conflict. The speaker's attraction draws her towards the husband, while his behaviour challenges the trust necessary to sustain their relationship. The resulting tension prevents the story from becoming a straightforward account of romantic fulfillment or marital failure.

The husband's beauty is significant because it influences the speaker's perception before it becomes a subject of critical examination. Attraction establishes an emotional investment in the relationship, making the husband more than an ordinary object of desire. He becomes a figure around whom the speaker's expectations, memories, and interpretations are organized. His attractiveness contributes to the relationship's emotional force, but it cannot ensure the sincerity or stability that the speaker may associate with it. The narrative consequently establishes a distinction between the experience of finding someone beautiful and the knowledge of whether that person can be trusted. As the relationship develops, the husband's dishonesty and infidelity undermine the idealized understanding that desire makes possible. The speaker is forced to confront the contradiction between the man who attracts her and the man whose actions violate the expectations of their relationship. This contradiction is central to the story because it prevents the husband from being understood through a single, stable description. He is neither merely the beautiful beloved nor merely the unfaithful partner. His significance emerges from the uncomfortable coexistence of attraction and betrayal.

The narrative's treatment of infidelity also challenges the assumption that the discovery of wrongdoing automatically produces emotional detachment. Betrayal may provide evidence that trust has been violated, but it does not necessarily erase the memories, desires, and expectations associated with the relationship. The speaker's continuing engagement with the husband reflects the difficulty of separating the emotional history of love from the knowledge that the relationship has been damaged. The story is therefore concerned not only with what the husband does but also with the consequences of recognizing that his conduct cannot be reconciled easily with the speaker's earlier understanding of him. The retrospective structure allows the speaker to examine the relationship from a position different from the one she

occupied while participating in it. The act of looking back introduces distance between experience and interpretation. Events that were once understood through attraction and hope can now be reconsidered in light of betrayal. Nevertheless, retrospective knowledge does not necessarily eliminate uncertainty. The speaker must still determine what the relationship meant, why the husband's beauty possessed such influence, and how her feelings can be understood without denying the reality of deception. This narrative situation creates an important distinction between the reality of the speaker's attachment and the reliability of the beliefs through which she interpreted the husband. Her desire need not have been false merely because her understanding of the beloved was incomplete. Similarly, the discovery of infidelity does not require every earlier experience of intimacy to be dismissed as meaningless. Carson's narrative allows these possibilities to coexist, demonstrating that emotional experience and factual knowledge do not always develop in harmony.

The story therefore becomes a process of reconsideration rather than a simple progression from innocence to knowledge. The speaker's experience of betrayal compels her to examine the relationship between what she desired, what she believed, and what the husband's conduct revealed. The husband's beauty remains important because it explains part of the emotional difficulty of this process. His attractiveness does not excuse his dishonesty, but it helps illuminate why the relationship cannot be reduced to a straightforward moral judgment. By placing the story within a fragmented and reflective narrative, Carson also refuses to provide a conventional romantic resolution in which all contradictions are resolved through a final explanation. Instead, the speaker's recollections and reflections expose the difficulty of reaching certainty about a relationship shaped by desire and deception. The narrative's principal achievement lies in transforming the story of an unfaithful husband into an inquiry into the processes through which love creates meaning and betrayal unsettles it.

The title *The Beauty of the Husband* establishes beauty as the central interpretive problem. Its apparent simplicity conceals a complex question: what does it mean to describe a deceptive and unfaithful person primarily through the language of beauty? The title draws attention to the husband's attractiveness while leaving his moral character unstated. This emphasis is significant because the narrative repeatedly places aesthetic attraction in tension with ethical judgment. Beauty initially appears to be a quality that belongs to the husband, but the

narrative suggests that its significance also depends upon the speaker's perception. His attractiveness becomes meaningful through her desire, memories, and emotional investment. The husband is not merely presented as an objectively beautiful figure; he is represented through a relationship in which beauty helps determine how he is experienced and interpreted. Consequently, beauty becomes relational as well as descriptive. The husband's infidelity complicates the cultural association between beauty and goodness. Aesthetic appeal can make a person compelling, but it cannot establish that the person is honest or morally reliable. The speaker's experience exposes the inadequacy of using attraction as evidence of character. The man she finds beautiful is also capable of conduct that contradicts the expectations attached to intimacy. His beauty and his dishonesty coexist, and neither can simply cancel the other. Keats's "Ode on a Grecian Urn" offers a useful point of comparison because of its famous association between beauty and truth. Carson's engagement with the Keatsian tradition introduces a tension between the philosophical ideal of beauty as a form of truth and the experience of a relationship in which beauty cannot guarantee sincerity. The speaker's husband does not become trustworthy merely because he is attractive. His appearance may be compelling, but the ethical meaning of the relationship must be judged through conduct rather than aesthetic impression alone.

Carson's narrative does not require the conclusion that beauty is inherently deceptive. Such a conclusion would merely reverse the traditional ideal without adequately examining its assumptions. Instead, the text asks why beauty may acquire an authority that exceeds its evidentiary value. The speaker's attraction is emotionally real, but the conclusions she associates with it may prove unreliable. Beauty becomes problematic when it is treated as a guarantee of qualities that it cannot establish. The narrative also raises questions about the relationship between aesthetic attention and ethical perception. The beloved's beauty can command attention, yet intense attention does not necessarily produce comprehensive knowledge. The speaker may be deeply responsive to the husband's attractiveness while remaining uncertain about the full meaning of his behaviour. Carson thus distinguishes the intensity of perception from the accuracy of judgment.

Elaine Scarry's *On Beauty and Being* provides a relevant philosophical framework for this distinction. Scarry considers the relationship between beauty, attention, and ethical thought. Carson's narrative complicates any straightforward association between aesthetic attention and

moral understanding by presenting a beautiful figure whose actions undermine trust. The problem is not that beauty has no significance, but that it cannot function as a substitute for ethical knowledge. The husband's beauty therefore becomes a source of contradiction. It sustains the speaker's emotional investment while exposing the limitations of aesthetic judgment. The narrative's central question is not whether the husband is beautiful, but how beauty comes to shape the speaker's interpretation of a person whose conduct challenges the assumptions associated with that beauty. The husband's infidelity constitutes a violation of trust, but the significance of betrayal in Carson's narrative extends beyond the immediate moral failure. Betrayal also produces an epistemological crisis: the speaker must reconsider what she believed about the husband and how she arrived at those beliefs. The discovery of deception challenges the reliability of the interpretive framework through which the relationship was understood. Before betrayal becomes fully recognizable, the husband's attractiveness and the emotional importance of the relationship contribute to the speaker's understanding of him. Once his dishonesty becomes apparent, the speaker must confront the discrepancy between the beloved she imagined and the person whose actions have violated trust. This discrepancy affects not only her present judgment but also her interpretation of the past. The experience of betrayal therefore transforms memory into a problem. Earlier encounters must be reconsidered, and previously accepted meanings may become uncertain. Words, gestures, and promises can acquire new significance when viewed in the light of contradictory conduct. The speaker is compelled to ask whether her earlier interpretations were mistaken, whether the husband deliberately misled her, and how the relationship could have possessed emotional significance while containing such contradictions. These questions cannot necessarily be resolved through factual discovery alone. Even when the reality of infidelity is recognized, the emotional meaning of the relationship remains difficult to determine. The speaker must understand not only that trust has been broken but also why the relationship mattered to her and how she can reinterpret it without denying the reality of her feelings.

Carson's narrative form reflects this difficulty. Rather than arranging the story into a continuous sequence that culminates in complete explanatory certainty, the work moves through separate sections that revisit the relationship from different perspectives. The reader encounters an ongoing process of reflection in which meanings are revised rather than permanently fixed.

The form thus mirrors the speaker's attempt to understand a relationship whose emotional and ethical dimensions cannot be reduced to a single statement. The crisis of knowledge also involves the relationship between language and action. Intimate relationships depend upon verbal assurances and shared interpretations, but language cannot guarantee that a person's conduct will correspond to the meanings expressed through words. When actions contradict promises or expectations, the betrayed person must reconsider the authority previously assigned to language. Betrayal becomes an epistemological crisis because it forces the speaker to revise the relationship between perception, belief, and evidence. The husband's infidelity is not merely an event within the story; it is the point of pressure through which the assumptions underlying the story become available for critical examination.

The aesthetics of deception provides the central conceptual framework for this paper. It describes the ways in which beauty, appearance, and narrative can influence perception and make certain interpretations emotionally persuasive. In Carson's work, deception operates within a relationship where the husband's attractiveness and the speaker's desire contribute to the emotional force of the marriage, even as his infidelity undermines its ethical foundations. The husband's beauty does not itself constitute deception. His attractiveness may be genuine, just as the speaker's attraction may be sincere. Deception emerges through the discrepancy between the meanings associated with beauty and the conduct that contradicts those meanings. The husband's appearance becomes problematic when it is treated as evidence of qualities that appearance cannot establish. This distinction is important because it prevents the analysis from reducing beauty to a superficial illusion. Carson's narrative recognizes the power of aesthetic attraction while questioning its authority as a source of knowledge. The speaker's experience suggests that beauty can be emotionally persuasive without providing a reliable account of character. It can intensify desire, direct attention, and sustain attachment, but it cannot guarantee honesty or fidelity. The aesthetics of deception also involves the stories through which the relationship becomes meaningful. Romantic attachment is often sustained by narratives of compatibility, exceptional connection, and shared expectations. Such narratives can provide emotional coherence, but they may become unstable when the beloved's actions contradict them. The speaker's experience of betrayal forces her to reconsider not only individual incidents but also the larger story through which she has understood the marriage.

The husband's infidelity disrupts the idealized narrative of intimacy. The speaker must confront the possibility that the relationship's emotional significance does not correspond to the ethical reliability she expected from it. The difficulty lies in acknowledging this contradiction without denying that the relationship once possessed genuine meaning for her. The aesthetic dimension of deception therefore operates through both appearance and interpretation. Beauty attracts the speaker, while romantic expectations help organize the meaning of that attraction. When betrayal occurs, the relationship between appearance and meaning becomes unstable. The speaker must distinguish what she experienced from what she believed that experience guaranteed. Scarry's discussion of beauty and justice helps illuminate this problem. Beauty can direct attention, but attention alone does not ensure ethical understanding. Carson's husband commands the speaker's desire without necessarily deserving the trust associated with that desire. The narrative thereby complicates the idea that aesthetic experience naturally leads to moral insight. The aesthetics of deception also has a narrative dimension. Carson does not simply tell a story about a deceptive husband; she constructs a text in which the meaning of the relationship remains open to repeated interpretation. The fragmented form requires the reader to reconsider earlier impressions and to recognize the instability of apparently coherent explanations. The reader's experience of the text consequently parallels the speaker's attempt to understand a relationship shaped by attraction and betrayal. The concept ultimately demonstrates that deception is not sustained by appearance alone. It emerges through the interaction of aesthetic attraction, emotional investment, cultural expectations, and interpretive activity. Carson's work exposes these processes without suggesting that the betrayed person is responsible for another individual's dishonesty. The speaker's challenge is to understand how beauty influenced her perception and how she can revise that perception without denying the reality of her feelings.

A feminist interpretation must also avoid blaming the speaker for the husband's infidelity. Her attraction and emotional investment do not make her responsible for his decisions. The analytical task is to understand how desire and cultural expectations influence the interpretation of intimate relationships without confusing interpretive vulnerability with moral culpability. The story also challenges simplistic assumptions about female strength. Agency does not necessarily require the immediate elimination of desire or the complete rejection of

emotional history. It may instead involve the capacity to recognize contradiction, reconsider previous beliefs, and develop a more critical understanding of the relationship. The speaker's retrospective narration creates such a possibility by allowing her to examine the husband's beauty and deception without allowing either category to determine the entire meaning of her experience. Carson's work thus presents female subjectivity as a process of interpretation and reconstruction. The speaker's experience of betrayal is painful because it destabilizes the narratives through which she has understood the husband, but the act of narration enables her to reconsider those narratives. Her agency emerges through the attempt to understand the relationship on terms that are no longer governed entirely by romantic idealization. The fragmented structure of *The Beauty of the Husband* is closely connected to the speaker's attempt to reconstruct her understanding of the relationship. The twenty-nine tangos interrupt the expectation of continuous narrative progression and create a form in which meaning emerges through juxtaposition, repetition, and retrospective reflection. This structure is particularly appropriate for a story in which betrayal requires the speaker to reconsider the past. The reconstruction of the self is central to this process. Betrayal can destabilize an individual's understanding of another person, but it can also challenge the individual's understanding of the self who loved that person. The speaker must reconsider why the husband became significant, how her expectations developed, and how her earlier attachment can be understood without being dismissed as meaningless.

Language is central to the relationship between desire and betrayal because intimate relationships depend upon expressions of affection, promises, explanations, and shared interpretations. These verbal acts help establish the meaning of a relationship, but they cannot guarantee that a person's conduct will correspond to the meanings expressed through words. The discrepancy between speech and action is one of the conditions that make deception possible. In Carson's narrative, the husband's dishonesty and infidelity undermine the relationship's claims to trust. The speaker must reconsider the significance of romantic language in light of conduct that contradicts the expectations attached to intimacy. Words that once appeared reassuring may become objects of retrospective scrutiny, while the meaning of earlier encounters may change when viewed from the perspective of betrayal. Barthes's *A Lover's Discourse* helps explain why the language of love frequently becomes a language of interpretation. The lover attempts to

understand the beloved's words, gestures, silences, and absences. Such interpretation can become repetitive because no individual sign necessarily resolves the uncertainty surrounding another person's intentions. Carson's speaker confronts this difficulty as she attempts to understand a husband whose attractiveness does not guarantee reliability.

The narrative also raises questions about performance. Romantic relationships involve the presentation of the self through appearance, language, and behaviour. Such presentation is not necessarily deceptive; it is a normal feature of social interaction. Deception emerges when presentation conceals significant contradictions or sustains beliefs that are inconsistent with a person's conduct. The tango structure reinforces the relevance of performance. Dance depends upon gesture, timing, movement, and response. It is simultaneously an embodied experience and a form that can be observed and interpreted. Carson's work similarly presents the relationship as something that must be experienced and interpreted. The speaker participates in the relationship, but she also becomes its retrospective observer.

Anne Carson's *The Beauty of the Husband: A Fictional Essay in 29 Tangos* transforms the story of a woman's relationship with a beautiful but unfaithful husband into a sustained inquiry into the relationship between desire, betrayal, beauty, and knowledge. The narrative follows the speaker's attraction to the husband, the disruption of trust through his dishonesty and infidelity, and her retrospective attempts to understand the emotional and intellectual consequences of the relationship. Through this story, Carson challenges the assumption that beauty necessarily signifies moral goodness or emotional authenticity. The husband's attractiveness remains significant even when his conduct undermines the relationship. This contradiction exposes the limitations of aesthetic judgment and demonstrates that the experience of finding someone beautiful cannot guarantee reliable knowledge of character. The speaker's attraction may be genuine, but the beliefs associated with that attraction remain open to revision. Beauty consequently becomes both a source of desire and a problem of interpretation.

The narrative also demonstrates that betrayal is more than an ethical violation. It produces an epistemological crisis in which the speaker must reconsider the meaning of earlier experiences, the reliability of romantic language, and the narratives through which the husband became significant. The discovery of deception does not automatically erase the emotional history of the relationship. Instead, it requires the speaker to distinguish between the authenticity

of her feelings and the accuracy of her assumptions about the beloved. The significance of Carson's work lies in its refusal to reduce love to either truth or illusion. The speaker's attachment and the husband's betrayal coexist within a narrative that recognizes the complexity of emotional experience. By combining a story of infidelity with philosophical reflection and experimental form, Carson reveals how beauty can intensify desire without guaranteeing trust and how the act of narration can transform emotional vulnerability into critical understanding. The work thus offers a valuable contribution to contemporary literary discussions of aesthetics, gender, desire, and the unstable relationship between appearance and truth.

Works Cited

Barthes, Roland. *A Lover's Discourse: Fragments*. Translated by Richard Howard, Hill and Wang, 1978.

Carson, Anne. *The Beauty of the Husband: A Fictional Essay in 29 Tangos*. Alfred A. Knopf, 2001.

de Beauvoir, Simone. *The Second Sex*. Translated by Constance Borde and Sheila Malovany-Chevallier, Vintage Books, 2011.

Keats, John. "Ode on a Grecian Urn." *The Complete Poems of John Keats*, edited by John Barnard, Penguin Books, 1973.

Scarry, Elaine. *On Beauty and Being Just*. Princeton UP, 1999.